From:	Deborah Miller
Sent:	4 Dec 2013 11:48:45 +1000
То:	Stephen Stathis;Leanne Geppert
Cc:	Judi Krause;Ingrid Adamson
Subject:	Re: Email to Service Options Working Group

Yes I agree - I have escalated to Peter to enure we have a considered and agreed approach to getting the agreement signed as a priority.

Email is good Ingrid

Regards Deb

>>> Stephen Stathis 3/12/13 14:23 >>> Agreed. Looks good Ingrid. I'd like Judi to cast her eye over the amended ACTS MOS before we send it out.

Note: I just received a text from Brett McDermott asking that we don't advertise the Mater beds as an interim tier 3 model - they are still working up the costs. He is reluctant for this to go public until it is signed off, but I think they have us over a barrel. I have texted back asking how long it will be until they complete the costings.

SS

Sent from my iPad

> On 3 Dec 2013, at 1:24 pm, "Leanne Geppert" wrote:

>

- > Hi Ingrid
- > I think this looks great. Leanne
- > Dr Leanne Geppert
- > -----Original Message-----
- > From: Ingrid Adamson
- > To: Stephen Stathis
- > To: Judi Krause
- > To: Deborah Miller
- > To: Leanne Geppert
- > Sent: 03/12/2013 13:11:40
- > Subject: Email to Service Options Working Group

>

> Hi - following on from yesterday's Steering Committee Meeting, I have prepared an email for the representatives of the Service Options Working Group.

>

> It is important to let them know where developments are at and seek their input on the service elements developed to date.

>

> Would you mind throwing your eye over the following and let me know if you'd like any changes to the message?

>

- > Cheers
- > Ingrid
- > Good Afternoon,

>

> We have now concluded the next phase of development for the Statewide Adolescent Extended Treatment and Rehabilitation (SW AETR) Initiative.

>

> Working with the information provided by you, at the Service Options Workshop on the 1st October, together with input from parents/carers and research on our interstate counterparts, the Project Team has compiled a spectrum of services for consideration as part of an overarching Model of Care for SW AETR.

> Please note that the team was able to refer to the draft National Mental Health Service Planning Framework (NMHSPF) during development and has closely aligned all service elements to this framework.

>

>

>

> It is also important to note that no decisions have been made regarding funding or proposed locations for these services. The model of care is indicative of the types of services that could be implemented. The next step will be development of a business case outlining a range of implementation options. Subject to the level of funding that can be secured, the outcome may be that only some of these services are able to be implemented. The purpose of the proposed model of care is to present the "best case scenario" for Government's consideration.

> We would now like to invite you to review the attached documentation and provide your feedback in regard to the comprehensiveness of the proposed services, any potential gaps you see, or improvements you would recommend. As a starting point, please refer to the A3 Diagram of the Model of Care. You will also find attached a separate Model of Service document for each element in this overarching Model of Care.

> Please note that there is a degree of restriction to the changes we can make to the service elements in order to remain compliant with the draft NMHSPF. That being said, all feedback is welcomed and will be duly considered.

> > It would be greatly appreciated if we could have your feedback to us by close of business Wednesday 11th December.

> We again thank you for your time and value your input.

>

> Warm regards

> Ingrid